1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452) Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346) TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 355 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 788-8200 Facsimile: (415) 788-8208 Email: staylor@tcolaw.com Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com Kenneth A. Gallo (pro hac vice to be submitted) Joseph J. Simons (pro hac vice to be submitted) Craig A. Benson (pro hac vice to be submitted) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1047 Telephone: (202) 223-7300 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420 Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com Email: jsimons@paulweiss.com	
11	Email: cbenson@paulweiss.com	
12	Attorneys for Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America	ca, Inc.
13		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION
17 18	IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	Case No. 07-cv-5944
19	ANTITRUST LITIGATION This Document Relates To:	MDL No. 1917 PROOF OF SERVICE RE:
20	Sharp Electronics Corporation, Sharp Electronics	PROOF OF SERVICE RE: PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION PURSUANT TO CIVIL
21	Manufacturing Company of America, Inc. v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. et al., Case No.	LOCAL RULE 3-12 TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE
22	13-cv-2776 (MEJ)	RELATED
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	1	
	-1-	

1	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am	
2	employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. My business address is One Ferry	
3	Building, Suite 355, San Francisco, California 94111.	
4	On June 18, 2013, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as	
5	1. ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED;	
6 7	2. DECLARATION OF JONATHAN A. PATCHEN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER	
8	CASES SHOULD BE RELATED; and	
9	3. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED	
10	on the interested parties in this action as follows:	
11	controlling General Order(s) and Local District Rule(s), the foregoing documents were served on all Parties and Interested Parties to the case captioned above: In re: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, United States District Court, Northern District of California Case No. 3:07-	
12		
13		
14		
15	I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America, that	
16	the foregoing is true and correct.	
17	Executed on June 18, 2013, at San Francisco, California.	
18		
19	/s/ Jonathan A. Patchen	
20	Jonathan A. Patchen	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	- 2 -	